

Engineering Statistics
Professor Manjesh Hanwal
Industrial Engineering and Operational Research
Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay
Lecture 49
Construction Confidence Interval from tests

(Refer Slide Time: 00:23)

$X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ $X_i \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$
 $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$
 $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ $c \in (0, 1)$
 $\lambda(x) = \exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$
 $R = \{x: \lambda(x) \leq c\}$
 $\exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \leq c$
 $(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 \geq -2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$
 $|\bar{x} - \theta_0| \geq \sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}}$
 $\bar{x} \pm \sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}} \leq \bar{x} \leq \bar{x} \pm \sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}}$

$X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ $X_i \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$
 $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$
 $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ $c \in (0, 1)$
 $\lambda(x) = \exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$
 $R = \{x: \lambda(x) \leq c\}$
 $\exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \leq c$
 $(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 \geq -2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$
 $|\bar{x} - \theta_0| \geq \sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}}$
 $\frac{\theta_0 - \sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}} \leq \frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}} \leq \frac{\theta_0 + \sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}}$
 $\bar{x} - \theta_0 \geq \sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}}$
 $\theta(\bar{x} - \theta_0) \leq -\sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}}$
 $\sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}} \leq \bar{x} - \theta_0 \leq -\sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}}$
 $\sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}} \leq \bar{x} \leq \bar{x} \pm \sqrt{-2 \log c \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}}$

So, now let us go back to our example where we have this X_1, X_2 up to X_n where each of these X_i 's are normal with μ and σ^2 , where I assume... let us call it as θ , θ is unknown and σ^2 is known my unknown parameter is only θ here. What was our estimator? Sorry what was our hypothesis testing here? Let me now this one I want to see whether my hypothesis H_0 is whether θ is equals to θ_0 and H_1 is θ is not equals

to θ not, let us consider these two scenarios. What was our rejection LRT what is the value, what is the condition it gave us, what was the value of this LRT?

Student: \bar{X} (01:36)

Professor Manjesh Hanwal: \bar{X} minus θ naught divided by σ^2 by n and our rejection region was if λx is less than or equals to C you reject all those samples. Now, was it this or there was an exponent, it was exponential value of this. I think this was exponential value of this. Can you check? And also, there is a square of course, this gaussian has to play a role here, this structure is coming from the gaussian this is the thing. And now this is my λ of x and I want this λ of x to be less than or C and that is my rejection, R is...

Now, what does this translate to? If I had to plug in this, this will translate to \bar{x} minus θ not square greater than or equal to $-\log C$ and σ^2 by... $\log C$ minus maybe σ^2 I will write like this σ^2 by n , that is it. Is there a square root here? Can you check? I think there is no square root there was n here and this was like simply σ^2 . So, this part was numerator was simply the exponent in the gaussian term that is simply σ^2 and we did some manipulation and we caught an N term here just cross verify this.

Now, this is going to be $-\log C$ and σ^2 by n sorry there is also 2 here which I will write 2 here minus, so what is the value of C ? What is the range of C here? $C > 0$?

Student: 0

Professor Manjesh Hanwal: 0 no, we know that this has to be between 0 to 1 because λx is a ratio which is always between 0 1 and because $\log C$ is between 0 1 we know that $\log C$ is going to be a negative quantity and because of this, this whole quantity is a positive quantity, agree?

Now, how does this translate to? This translate to \bar{x} minus θ naught, I can write it as to be greater than or equals to $-\frac{2 \log C}{\sigma^2}$ by n agree? Which I can further say now, this is now \bar{x} , this is like \bar{x} minus θ naught less than or equals to $-\frac{2 \log C}{\sigma^2}$ by n less than or equals to square root of minus of minus 2 $\log C$ σ^2 by n , everybody agree with this computation?

Now, what I will do is $\bar{x} - \theta$ not I will get the σ^2 by n from both sides now, this is going to be minus of $2 \log C$ and minus of minus $2 \log C$ and as always we know that this is going to be distributed what?

Student: (06:47)

Professor Manjesh Hanwal: So, I am going to write this is going to be minus $2 \log C$, minus of minus $2 \log C$, agree? Now, see that it is kind of giving me already some range here, what I am doing is? So, what I am going, this is going to give me, if this condition holds if my \bar{x} is such that $\bar{x} - \theta$ is going to lie in this interval I am going to accept that \bar{x} to coming from parameter θ or no.

This is basically rejection region, I have basically translated that rejection region into this interval here, so if my \bar{x} , so if this \bar{x} right now, I do not know this \bar{x} let me just put it in terms of \bar{x} is now this is going to be $\theta \pm 2 \log C \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ this one $\theta - 2 \log C$, maybe I will just get rid of this σ^2 by...in here anyway this is... these quantities are known and this is like so, there is going to be σ^2 by n here σ^2 by n here and another σ^2 by n . What happened?

Student: (08:58)

Professor Manjesh Hanwal: This one so, I have taken θ on this side, that is fine and then θ on also this side, what is the issue?

Student: (09:12)

Professor Manjesh Hanwal: No, this is correct this is correct, when I remove the modulus this is correct, no let us do this when if suppose let say when this is a positive quantity, you are saying this should be there and when it is a negative quantity let me write it. Let me write this quantity. Whenever this quantity happens to be positive their equality remains like this $\bar{x} - \theta \leq 2 \log C \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$.

And when there is a negation of this I have to take negation both sides this is like $\bar{x} - \theta \geq -2 \log C \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$. And so, this is going to be less than or equals to minus of minus $2 \log C \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ squared by like this and now because of this, let us write this now for how to get this $\bar{x} - \theta$ is going to be upper bounded by $2 \log C \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ and then this is going to be minus... But see there is a issue here, something is wrong, we know that $2 \log C$ is going to be negative quantity $-2 \log C$ is going to be a positive quantity. So, this

entire thing this entire thing is a positive quantity, but now this entire thing is a negative quantity, how can this happen? Let us do that.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:28)

$X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ $X_i \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$
 $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ $c \in (0, 1)$
 $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$
 $\lambda(x) = \exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$
 $R_2: \lambda(x) \leq c$
 $\exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \leq c$
 $(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 \geq -2 \log c \cdot \sigma^2/n$
 $|\bar{x} - \theta_0| \geq \sqrt{-2 \log c} \cdot \sigma/n$

$-\sqrt{-2 \log c} \leq \frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sigma/n} \leq \sqrt{-2 \log c}$
 $-\sqrt{-2 \log c} \leq Z \leq \sqrt{-2 \log c}$
 $\frac{\theta_0 - \sqrt{-2 \log c} \cdot \sigma/n}{\sigma/n} \leq \frac{\bar{x}}{\sigma/n} \leq \frac{\theta_0 + \sqrt{-2 \log c} \cdot \sigma/n}{\sigma/n}$
 $\bar{x} - \theta_0 \geq \sqrt{-2 \log c} \cdot \sigma/n$
 $\theta(\bar{x} - \theta_0) \leq -\sqrt{-2 \log c} \cdot \sigma/n$

$\Pr\{\bar{x} - \theta_0\}$

Now, what we have probability that x bar equals to this and this. Now, how we are going to write this? What we have written is actually and write like this and this. So, how can this happen like, so that is what I am saying, this is a inside that is a positive quantity, it has to be greater than positive quantity but less than a negative quantity, how can that happen? We have to start with this maybe let us find up.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:23)

$X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ $X_i \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$
 $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ $c \in (0, 1)$
 $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$
 $\lambda(x) = \exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$
 $R_2: \lambda(x) \leq c$
 $\exp\left\{-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \leq c$
 $(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 \geq -2 \log c \cdot \sigma^2/n$
 $|\bar{x} - \theta_0| \geq \sqrt{-2 \log c} \cdot \sigma/n$

Acceptance region:
 $-\sqrt{-2 \log c} \cdot \sigma/n \leq \bar{x} - \theta_0 \leq \sqrt{-2 \log c} \cdot \sigma/n$
 $A(\theta_0) = \left\{ \bar{x} : \theta_0 - \sqrt{-2 \log c} \cdot \sigma/n \leq \bar{x} \leq \theta_0 + \sqrt{-2 \log c} \cdot \sigma/n \right\}$
 $A(\theta) = \left\{ \bar{x} : \theta_0 \right\}$

Rejection

So, this is the condition we have and we know that this x bar minus theta not we know this has to satisfy, how you are going to define in terms of the intervals, now, we have to work out

this is true, with this is this true? If this is $\bar{x} - 3$ this happens to a positive quantity this either this or this has to happen this is R this is not an and condition.

So, now probability that, now how we will write it $\bar{x} - \theta$ not, now let us say, let us define this I know that my quantity $\bar{x} - \theta$ has to be here and minus of this and $\bar{x} - \theta$ has to be here. And what I am asking is? This $\bar{x} - \theta$ should be greater than this and less than this. Whenever my $\bar{x} - \theta$ is so happening whether it is falling in this region or this region what I am going to do?

I am going to reject and I am going to accept whenever it is going to be in this region. So, let us focus on that acceptance region. I think that is where the confusion came. We were not interest... Now, this is going to give me the rejection region and if I have to get the acceptance region then this has to be $\bar{x} - \theta$ has to be less than or equals to $\bar{x} - \theta - 2 \log C \sigma^2 / n$ and $\bar{x} - \theta + 2 \log C \sigma^2 / n$. So, this is the rejection and this is the acceptance region, this is clear?

Now, I am going to call it as A this region all the x such that $\bar{x} - \theta$ not. So, maybe now I can take that θ not on the other side, whenever this \bar{x} is such that it is θ $\bar{x} - \theta + 2 \log C \sigma^2 / n$ and θ $\bar{x} - \theta - 2 \log C \sigma^2 / n$, this is I am going to accept that.

Now, this is for a given θ not, θ not is fixed. And for that parameter I am trying to define this acceptance region and I am going to call it as A of θ not. So, whenever my sample is falling in this region, that $\bar{x} - \theta$ I am going to accept it. Now, the question is, is it possible for us to translate this into the confidence interval.

So, we will discuss that in the next class. But, first thing we need to note here is that does it matter what particular θ is 0 that we are looking here, this could be any θ . So, for any θ , I can look for such conditions and this is what the rest of the things remain the same. And this is what we will use to come up with our confidence interval that should work for any given sample X . So, we will continue that in the next class.