

Advanced Linear Algebra
Prof. Premananda Bera
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology – Roorkee

Lecture – 21
Linear Functional and the Transpose of Linear Transformation - 1

So, welcome to this lecture series. In our last lecture, we have seen that if we consider a vector space V of dimension say n and V^* with a corresponding dual space, then for any ordered basis $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of V , there exist a dual basis f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n for V^* . Of course, we have seen in last example when you consider a dual basis f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n of vector space V^* , we have also found a basis $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ for the corresponding space V that we have checked through the numerical examples.

But in general, we have not answered the questions if V be a vector space defined over the field F and V^* with the correspondent dual space and if f_1, f_2, f_3 be the basis for V^* the question is whether for each sub basis is there a dual of some basis for V . I mean this is f_1, f_2, f_3 this basis for V^* is a dual for some basis for the V that is the question. I mean does that exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ in V which would basis for the V . To answer this question, we can proceed like this.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:23)

Let V be a vector space over a field say F . Let V^* be the corresponding dual space. Let $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ be a basis of V^* .

Whether each basis for V^* is dual of some basis for V ?

Consider for each $\alpha \in V$ a function L_α on V^* defined by

$$L_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha) \quad \text{--- *}$$

$$L_\alpha: V^* \rightarrow F$$

For $f_1, f_2 \in V^*$, $\& c \in F$

$$L_\alpha(cf_1 + f_2) = (cf_1 + f_2)(\alpha) = cf_1(\alpha) + f_2(\alpha)$$

$$\text{)} = cL_\alpha(f_1) + L_\alpha(f_2)$$

$\Rightarrow L_\alpha$ is a linear functional on V^* ---

Consider for each $\alpha \in V$ a function L_α on V^* defined by $L_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha)$. So, basically this implies it is a function, so $L_\alpha: V^* \rightarrow F$ this one. This function we can check it is also linear function, I mean

for $f_1 & f_2 \in V^*$ and $c \in V$, $L_\alpha(cf_1 + f_2) = (cf_1 + f_2)(\alpha) = cf_1(\alpha) + f_2(\alpha) = cL_\alpha(f_1) + L_\alpha(f_2)L$.
 So, this implies this L_α is a linear functional on V^* . So, for each alpha we have introduced a linear functional L_α like this.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:39)

$\Rightarrow L_\alpha \in V^{**}$, $V^{**} \rightarrow$ called as double dual space for V
 i.e. $(V^*)^* = V^{**}$

Our claim is a ϕ from V into V^{**} defined by
 $\phi(\alpha) = L_\alpha$ — \odot
 is an isomorphism from V into V^{**}

is claim ϕ is a 1-1 linear transformation between V & V^{**}
 Since V is finite dimensional so V^{**} is also finite dimensional &
 $\dim V = \dim V^{**}$, consequently 1-1 implies onto also.

ϕ is a L.T.
 for $\alpha & \beta \in V$ & $c \in F$
 $\phi(\alpha + c\beta) = L_{\alpha + c\beta}$
 for $f \in V^*$, $L_{\alpha + c\beta}(f) = f(\alpha + c\beta) = f(\alpha) + cf(\beta) = (L_\alpha + cL_\beta)(f)$

So, this implies that $L_\alpha \in V^{**}$, star, this is called the double dual space. V^{**} , star is called as double dual space for vector space V , i.e. $(V^*)^* = V^{**}$. So, we see that for each α one can introduce a linear functional L_α defined like what we have already done. Now, my claim is that a function ϕ from V into V^{**} defined by the $\phi(\alpha) = L_\alpha$, is an isomorphism from V into V^{**} .

So the way we have introduced L_α and then I am defining a function ϕ from V into V^{**} defined by $\phi(\alpha) = L_\alpha$. Now, my claim is that this ϕ is an isomorphism from V into V^* that is claim ϕ is a 1-1 linear transformation between V and V^{**} . Since, V is finite dimensional so V^{**} is also finite dimensional and, dimension of $V =$ dimension of V^{**} .

Consequently, if I somehow prove that ϕ is a 1-1 linear transformation from V into V^{**} , then ϕ is also 1-1 onto linear transformation from V into V^{**} that is ϕ will be an isomorphism from V onto V^{**} . Consequently 1-1 implies onto also. So, first I have to prove that ϕ is a linear transformation. So, let us see how ϕ is a linear transformation. ϕ is a linear transformation(LT).

For $\alpha & \beta \in V$ and $c \in F$, we have $\phi(\alpha + c\beta) = L_{\alpha + c\beta}$. Claim: $L_{\alpha + c\beta} = L_\alpha + cL_\beta$. For $f \in V^*$,

$$L_{\alpha+c\beta}(f) = f(\alpha+c\beta) = f(\alpha) + cf(\beta) = (L_\alpha + cL_\beta)(f).$$

(Refer Slide Time: 09:49)

$$\Rightarrow L_{\alpha+c\beta} = L_\alpha + cL_\beta$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(\alpha+c\beta) = L_{\alpha+c\beta} = L_\alpha + cL_\beta = \varphi(\alpha) + c\varphi(\beta)$$

$\therefore \varphi$ is a L.T.

For $\alpha \neq 0$, if $\varphi(\alpha) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow L_\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow L_\alpha(f) = 0 = f(\alpha) \quad \forall f \in V^*$$

But we know, for $\alpha \neq 0$, we will get at least one f in V^* such that $f(\alpha) \neq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists f \text{ for which } L_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha) \neq 0.$$

\therefore For $\alpha \neq 0$, $L_\alpha \neq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \text{ is 1-1.}$$

So, this implies that $L_{\alpha+c\beta} = L_\alpha + cL_\beta$. So, this implies, $\varphi(\alpha + c\beta) = L_{\alpha+c\beta} = L_\alpha + cL_\beta = \varphi(\alpha) + c\varphi(\beta)$. So, φ is a linear transformation(L.T.). For $\alpha \neq 0$, if $\varphi(\alpha) = 0$, implies that $L_\alpha = 0$. So, L_α is a linear functional on V^* . So, implies that $L_\alpha(f) = 0 = f(\alpha)$, $\forall f \in V^*$.

But we know for $\alpha \neq 0$, one will get at least one such f on V^* such that $f(\alpha) \neq 0$, already we have explained in our previous lectures if you consider a nonzero element in V one can immediately find out a nonzero linear functional f on V^* such that $f(\alpha) \neq 0$. So, based on that we have a nonzero linear functional, so this implies $\exists f$ for which, $L_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha) \neq 0$.

This implies that so for $\alpha \neq 0$, $L_\alpha \neq 0$, I mean 0 linear functional. So, this implies that your φ is 1-1 because the null space of φ is only zero subspace, φ is 1-1.

(Refer Slide Time: 13:01)

$\therefore \varphi$ is a 1-1 L.T. for V into V^{**}

$\therefore \varphi$ is an isomorphism for V into V^{**}

\checkmark We have for any L on V^* , \exists a unique $\alpha \in V$ s.t.

$$L = L_\alpha \quad \text{i.e.} \quad L(f) = L_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha) \quad \text{---}$$

\therefore If $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ is a basis of V^* , then there exist a dual basis $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n\}$ for V^{**} such that

$$L_i(f_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{---} \quad \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n \end{array}$$

Again, for each L_i \exists α_i in V s.t.

$$L_i = L_{\alpha_i}$$

$\Rightarrow \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ related to $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n\}$

So, we have φ is a 1-1 linear transformation from V into V^{**} . Since already we explained the dimension of V is finite and that is equal to dimension of V^{**} , so certainly if it is 1-1 implies that it is also onto. So, φ is an isomorphism from V into V^{**} . So, we have seen there exist 1-1 onto map linear transformation from V and V^{**} . So that is α goes to L_α we have this one.

So, what do we have achieved from this? So, let me clarify this once. See we have for any L on V^* any linear functional on V^* , \exists a unique $\alpha \in V$, s.t. $L = L_\alpha$, because since we have seen for the 1-1 map between V and V^{**} , so whenever you consider element in double star, I mean L is basically an element in the V^{**} so definitely an element in V .

So, for any L in V^{**} there will be an element $\alpha \in V$, s.t. $L = L_\alpha$. i.e. $L(f) = L_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha)$. So, this implies if $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ is a basis of V^* , then we know if this is the basis for a V^* then there exist a dual basis $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n\}$ for V^{**} , such that $L_i(f_j) = \delta_{ij}$ where $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n$.

This already we know from previous studies that if we consider a basis for this space V^* then certainly there is a dual basis $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n\}$ for V^{**} . Again, for each L_i \exists α_i in V such that $L_i = L_{\alpha_i}$. Previous result says that for each L_i \exists α_i in V s.t. $L_i = L_{\alpha_i}$. So, this implies one will get $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ related to $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n\}$.

Now if $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n\}$ is linearly independent certainly $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ will be linearly independent and that is coming, I just forgot, because φ is 1-1. So, if α_1 and if suppose L_1, L_2 are linearly

independent and α_1, α_2 not linearly independent they are dependent you will get a contradiction that φ carrying nonzero to zero vector.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:43)

$\Rightarrow \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \}$ is the required basis for V , so that $\{ f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \}$ is the corresponding dual basis for V^*

✓ The transpose of a Linear Transformation:

Let V & W be two vector spaces over a field say F .

Let T be a L.T. from V into W .

i.e. $T: V \rightarrow W$

Consider V^* & W^* be the dual of V & W respectively.

Let $g \in W^*$ arbitrary

$$g(T(\alpha)) = f(\alpha) \quad \forall \alpha \in V$$

i.e. $f = gT$

then the fn f is a fn for V into F .

So, this implies that $\{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \}$ is the required basis for V so that $\{ f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \}$ is the corresponding dual basis for V^* . So, we see that if you consider a basis $\{ f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \}$ on V^* certainly there exist a basis $\{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \}$ in V such that $\{ f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \}$ the dual of $\{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \}$ basis. So, we have basically studied what is linear transformation, what is linear operator, linear functionals.

Now we want to see suppose a linear transformation is given to us whether these linear transformations introduce another linear transformation on some spaces, so we are interested for that, so basically I am talking about the transpose of a linear transformation. So, before introducing the transpose of a linear transformations, first let us see how to define, how they give you linear transformation introduce another linear transformation. Let V & W be two vector spaces over a field say F .

Let T be a linear transformation from V into W . So, i.e. $T: V \rightarrow W$. Consider V^* & W^* be the dual of V and W respectively. Let $g \in W^*$ means g be a linear functional on W with $g(T(\alpha)) = f(\alpha) \quad \forall \alpha \in V$, i.e. $f = gT$. So, I have considered the function which is composite of g and T . So, T is linear transformation from V into W and g is a linear functional on W^* .

So, take the (gT) , composition of the g and T then I see that (gT) is basically functional or you can say function here from V to F . So, I have considered $g(T(\alpha)) = f(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in V$. Suppose is like these things, then the function f is a function from V into F . Since T is linear transformation and g is also linear transformation, linear functional linear transformation, so it is a product of two linear functions, so f has to be also linear.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:10)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(c\alpha + \beta) &= (gT)(c\alpha + \beta) = g(T(c\alpha + \beta)) \\
 &= g(cT(\alpha) + T(\beta)) \\
 &= c(gT)(\alpha) + (gT)(\beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore f$ is a linear functional on V^*

Defn $T: W^* \rightarrow V^*$
 $g \rightarrow T^t g$

Claim, T^t is a linear transformation for W^* into V^*

For $g_1, g_2 \in W^*$ & $c \in F$

$$T^t(cg_1 + g_2) = (cg_1 + g_2)T$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow (T^t(cg_1 + g_2))(\alpha) &= ((cg_1 + g_2)T)(\alpha) \\
 &= (cg_1)(T(\alpha)) + g_2(T(\alpha)) \\
 &= cg_1(T(\alpha)) + g_2(T(\alpha))
 \end{aligned}$$

So, if I consider $f(c\alpha + \beta) = (gT)(c\alpha + \beta) = g(T(c\alpha + \beta)) = g(c(T(\alpha)) + T(\beta)) = (gT)(\alpha) + (gT)(\beta)$. So, this is linear function, so f is a linear functional on V^* . Now, let me define $T^t: W^* \rightarrow V^*$. See from the linear transformation T , I am able to introduce a linear functional f like what we have done it here.

And that linear functional f is defined on basically V . So, I can define another function T^t which is mapping for $g \rightarrow T^t g$, where $g \in W^*$. I am defining say $T^t g$ and that $T^t g$ basically gT . Claim: $T^t: W^* \rightarrow V^*$. Because for g_1 & $g_2 \in W^*$ & $c \in F$, $T^t(cg_1 + g_1) = (cg_1 + g_1)T$

So, this implies that $(T^t(cg_1 + g_2))(\alpha) = ((cg_1 + g_2)T)(\alpha) = (cg_1)(T(\alpha)) + g_2(T(\alpha)) = cg_1(T(\alpha)) + g_2(T(\alpha))$. So, this implies what?

(Refer Slide Time: 27:05)

$$\Rightarrow T^t(cg_1 + g_2) = cT^t(g_1) + T^t(g_2) \text{ —}$$

$\therefore T^t$ is a L.T.

T^t is called as the transpose of the linear transformation T

Ex Let $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$
 $\alpha = (x_1, x_2) \rightarrow (x_1, 0)$

i.e. $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, 0)$

$\exists g \in \mathbb{R}^*$ & $g(x_1, x_2) = ax_1 + bx_2$

$$\Rightarrow (T^t(g))(\alpha) = g(T(\alpha)) = g(x_1, 0) = ax_1 \text{ —}$$

This implies, $T^t(cg_1 + g_2) = cT^t(g_1) + T^t(g_2)$. So, T^t is a linear transformation. T^t is called as the transpose of the linear transformation T . So T^t is the transpose of T . One can take an example say let $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ and defined by say $\alpha = (x_1, x_2) \rightarrow (x_1, 0)$ i.e. $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, 0)$. Let $g \in \mathbb{R}^*$ & $g(x_1, x_2) = ax_1 + bx_2$.

So, this implies your $(T^t(g))(\alpha) = g(T(\alpha)) = g(x_1, 0) = ax_1$. So, we will continue with this in the next lecture.