

Introduction to Galois Theory
Professor Krishna Hanumanthu
Department of Mathematics
Chennai Mathematical Institute
Lecture 33
Kummer Extensions - Part 2

(Refer Slide Time: 00:16)

Pf of Theorem 1: Let $\alpha \in K$ be a root of $X^n - a$.
 If $K=F$, we are done: K/F is cyclic. \checkmark
 We assume $K \neq F$ and $\alpha \notin F$. Let $\zeta \in F$ be a primitive n th root of 1.
 Observe that $\zeta^i \alpha$ are all the roots of f in K . $\alpha^n = a$
 $\Rightarrow (\zeta^i \alpha)^n = \zeta^{in} \alpha^n = a$ $\left| \begin{array}{l} \alpha \in K \\ \zeta \in F \subset K \\ \zeta \alpha \in K \end{array} \right.$
 Roots of f are: $\alpha, \zeta \alpha, \zeta^2 \alpha, \dots, \zeta^{n-1} \alpha$
 n distinct.
 We definitely know that K/F is a Galois ext: K is normal since
 it is the sp. fd of a poly/ F and $\alpha \in K$ is separable over F
 (remains to be shown by the standing assumption $*$)



($\because f = X^n - a$ is separable by the standing assumption)
 $\therefore K = F(\alpha)/F$ is separable and normal
 $\therefore K/F$ is Galois. Remains to show that
 $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ is cyclic.

root of f' is 0 is not a root of f


Recall: n distinct roots of $X^n - a$ in K are: $\alpha, \zeta \alpha, \zeta^2 \alpha, \dots, \zeta^{n-1} \alpha \in K$.



Welcome back, we are halfway through the proof of theorem 1, that I stated last time after defining the Kummer extensions. And our goal finally is to prove this main theorem about Kummer extensions, which say essentially that if you start with the kind of a field, which means it is a field containing a primitive n th root of unity, then a Kummer extension is really nothing but a cyclic extension. And equivalence is given with two theorems, and the first theorem is to show that Kummer extension is cyclic. So, we started with the Kummer extension we argued last time that it is definitely Galois.

Now, we are going to show that its Galois group is cyclic. So, I also told you that the roots of, so recall, the n distinct roots of $X^n - a$ and K are, so you pick any root that you want first, then you take that root times the primitive n th roots of unity that exist in K . So, the primitive n th roots of unity are in F , so they are in K . So, the n roots are given by this, they are all distinct because a polynomial is separable. Now, we are going to show that the Galois group is cyclic by exhibiting a cyclic group which contains the Galois group as a subgroup.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:45)



Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$. We do know that $\alpha^n = a$

Have: $\forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$,
 $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^i \alpha$ for some i .

Define a map: $\text{Gal}(K/F) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
 $\sigma \mapsto i_\sigma \pmod{n}$.

$\Rightarrow (\sigma(\alpha))^n = \sigma(\alpha^n) = \sigma(a) = a$
 $\Rightarrow \sigma(\alpha)$ is a root of $X^n - a$.
 For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$,
 Suppose $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^i \alpha$

Have: $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^i \alpha$ for some i .

Define a map: $\text{Gal}(K/F) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
 $\sigma \mapsto i_\sigma \pmod{n}$

For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$,
 Suppose $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^i \alpha$


(1) φ is well-defined: $\zeta^i \alpha = \zeta^j \alpha$, if $i \neq j$
 $\Rightarrow \zeta^{i-j} = 1 \Rightarrow i-j$ is divisible by n
 $\Rightarrow i \equiv j \pmod{n}$

ζ is a primitive n th root of 1
 $\Rightarrow \text{ord}(\zeta) = n$ as an elem of K^*

$$\begin{matrix} n \Rightarrow \zeta^n = 1 \\ \zeta^1 = \zeta \\ \zeta^2 = \zeta^2 \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{n-1} = \zeta^{n-1} \\ \zeta^n = 1 \end{matrix}$$

So $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^i \alpha = \zeta^j \alpha \Rightarrow i \equiv j \pmod{n}$
 So $\varphi(\sigma)$ is well-defined.

(2) φ is a gp homom: $\sigma_1(\alpha) = \zeta^{i_1} \alpha$; $\sigma_2(\alpha) = \zeta^{i_2} \alpha$
 $\sigma_1 \sigma_2(\alpha) = \zeta^{i_2} (\zeta^{i_1} \alpha) = \zeta^{i_1+i_2} \alpha$



(2) φ is a gp homom: $\sigma_1(\alpha) = \zeta^{\sigma_1} \alpha$; $\sigma_2(\alpha) = \zeta^{-\sigma_2} \alpha$.

$\zeta^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} = \zeta^{\sigma_1} \zeta^{\sigma_2}$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \sigma_1 \sigma_2(\alpha) &= \sigma_1(\zeta^{\sigma_2} \alpha) = \zeta^{\sigma_1} (\zeta^{\sigma_2} \alpha) = \zeta^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \alpha \\ &= \zeta^{\sigma_1} \zeta^{\sigma_2} \alpha \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$\varphi(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = \varphi(\sigma_1) + \varphi(\sigma_2) \pmod{n}$$

φ is a gp homom ✓



So, for this let us know the following. So, let us take the Galois group K over F and take an element of this. So, then we do know that $\alpha^n = a$, so this means, $\sigma(\alpha^n) = \sigma(a)$. So, because a is in the base field, so a is fixed. So, this means $\sigma(\alpha^n) = \alpha^n$. So, because $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^i \alpha$, so $\zeta^{in} \alpha^n = \alpha^n$. So, $\zeta^{in} = 1$. So, n divides i . So, $i = kn$. So, $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^{kn} \alpha = \alpha$. So, σ is the identity.

So, hence the conclusion is, for all σ in the Galois group, $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^i \alpha$ for some i , because the roots are all in front of you here. The roots of the polynomial are here, $\sigma(\alpha)$ is a root of that polynomial, so $\sigma(\alpha)$ is 1 of them. So, now, this allows us to define a map from the Galois group to the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. What do we do with this? This is a map φ , so φ send σ to i .

So, the notation here is, so I am going to try this. So, for σ in G the Galois group let suppose, we know that $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^i \alpha$, that i , I will call, that i will depend on σ , right. So, I will call that the exponent of ζ is i , so then I will simply send it to $i \pmod{n}$. So, I have to be a little careful here because i is an integer, so it is not in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ a priori, but I can take its residue class modular n , then σ will go to $i \pmod{n}$.

So, we will prove some things about this. φ is well defined, first. We will show that it is an injective group homomorphism and thereby Galois group of K over F is a subgroup of that $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and hence Galois group will be cyclic. So, why is this well-defined? The problem might occur, if you have $\zeta^i \alpha = \zeta^j \alpha$ for different i and j , then via φ I will send σ to, if $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^i \alpha$, then I will send to either $i \pmod{n}$ or $j \pmod{n}$.

So, I need to know that i and j are same mod n . So, suppose this happens, then we of course know that because $\sigma^i \alpha - \sigma^j \alpha$ is equal to 1, so I can always multiply by $\sigma^j \alpha^{-1}$. So, this is $\sigma^{i-j} \alpha$. This will guarantee that $i - j$ is divisible by n . This part here is because ζ is a primitive n th root of unity, it is a primitive n th root of 1, because of that if $\sigma^i \alpha - \sigma^j \alpha = 1$, $i - j$ must be divisible by n because the order of ζ is n . So, this implies order of ζ is n , as an element of K^\times .

So, its order is n because it is a finite order element, $\zeta^n = 1$ and nothing less than n will make $\zeta = 1$. That means, after n the next one we will make, that will make $\zeta^n = 1$ is $2n$, then $3n$, then $4n$ and so on. So, nothing in between can have that property. So, $\zeta^k = 1$ implies that k must be divisible by n . So, this is a simple group theory here nothing more than that.

That means, i is congruent to j modular n . So, if $\sigma^i \alpha = \zeta^i \alpha$, which is also $\zeta^j \alpha$, then i is congruent to j mod n . So, $\sigma^i \alpha = \sigma^j \alpha$ is well-defined. So, a priori you might write different integers. But when you look at the residue modular n you get the same answer. If you take Galois K over F to \mathbb{Z} you will not get the same well-defined map because maybe n is 5 and then $\zeta^7 = \zeta^2$.

So, where will you send σ to, so if this is $\sigma^i \alpha$, σ will go to either 7 or 2. So, if the target is integers, this is not a well-defined map. However, if the target is $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $7 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$. So, there is no problem so I am just explaining too much perhaps, but this is a well-defined map. And that requires the fact that ζ is a primitive n th root unity. Second statement is ϕ is a group homomorphism, why is this?

So, of course, this is a group, so this is a group homomorphism. And this is rather easy because, suppose $\sigma^i \alpha = \zeta^i \alpha$, and let us say $\sigma^j \alpha = \zeta^j \alpha$. So, then what is $\sigma^i \sigma^j \alpha$? $\sigma^i \sigma^j \alpha = \zeta^j \sigma^i \alpha = \zeta^j \zeta^i \alpha = \zeta^{i+j} \alpha$. So, this is $\zeta^{i+j} \alpha$, then you apply σ to this, $\zeta^{i+j} \alpha$ is a constant because that is in the base field. So, that comes out and then $\sigma^i \alpha = \zeta^i \alpha$.

So, this is $\sigma^i \alpha$. So, $\zeta^{i+j} \alpha$ comes out and then you get this. But this is nothing but $\zeta^i \zeta^j \alpha$. Now, if you go back to the definition of ϕ , ϕ will send anything to you simply apply σ to α and then see what is the exponent of ζ . So, to find the image of $\sigma^i \sigma^j$ under ϕ , you look at the image of α under $\sigma^i \sigma^j$. And that is, so basically this entire calculation shows that $\phi(\sigma^i \sigma^j) = \phi(\sigma^i) + \phi(\sigma^j)$.

$\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ is σ_1 plus σ_2 . So, maybe this is a bit confusing if you are seeing this for the first time.

And I am going maybe a bit fast, but just pause the video there, it's really nothing more than notation here this is not Galois theory, this is just keeping track of the notation. So, $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ is, you look at the image of σ_1 , σ_2 , and image of α $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ and look at the exponent, because that is a root of $X^n - a$, it must be a power of ζ times α and that power is this.

So, this is σ_1 plus σ_2 . And by the well-defined, so I can take anything here, I mean any integer that has this property. So, of course, this is modular n , of course, because that is the group. So, this is a group homomorphism the operation of the left-hand side group is composition, the operation of the right-hand side group is addition. So, $\phi(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)$ is $\phi(\sigma_1) + \phi(\sigma_2)$. So, this is a group homomorphism.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:30)

(3) ψ is injective: $\psi(\sigma) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

$\Rightarrow i_\sigma = 0$

$\Rightarrow \sigma(\alpha) = \zeta^0 \alpha = \alpha$

$\Rightarrow \sigma(\beta) = \beta \quad \forall \beta \in K$


$\Rightarrow \sigma = 1$.


Hence $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ is iso to a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.


Since $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic, so is $\text{Gal}(K/F)$. So K/F is cyclic.

Next: $[K:F] = |\text{Gal}(K/F)| \Leftrightarrow X^n - a$ is irr.

$\Rightarrow n = [K:F]$





Since $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic, so is $\text{Gal}(K/F)$. So \mathbb{F} is cyclic. 

Next: $[K:F] = |\text{Gal}(K/F)| = n \Leftrightarrow X^n - a$ is irr. $f = X^n - a$

\Rightarrow : $[K:F] = n \Rightarrow n = [F(\alpha):F] \Rightarrow \deg g = n$ where g is the irr poly of α over F . Note that $f(\alpha) = 0$ where $f = X^n - a$.

So g divides f and $\deg g = \deg f = n \Rightarrow f = g$ is irr/ F .

\Leftarrow : $X^n - a$ is irr. Note $|\text{Gal}(K/F)| \leq n \because \text{Gal}(K/F) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ has order n .

So $[K:F] = |\text{Gal}(K/F)| \leq n$, on the other hand,

$[K:F] = [F(\alpha):F] = n: f = X^n - a$ is irr and α is a root of f



Finally, ϕ is injective. Why is this? So, this is also easy, suppose, something is in the kernel that means, its image is 0, which is the identity element of this, but this means, σ is 0, this means, $\sigma \alpha$ is the 0th power of α . But that means $\sigma \alpha$ is equal to α . Once $\sigma \alpha$ is α , now, recall that K is $F(\alpha)$. Because once you adjoin α , all the roots are already contained, so, the splitting field is generated by α .

So, if σ fixes α , so σ of, if you want β is equal to β , for all β in K . Because, of course, σ fixes F , it fixes α , so it must fix every polynomial in $F(\alpha)$, that means σ is identity element, so it is injective. So, that means, Galois group is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Now, since $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic, so is the Galois group.

So, this proves the first statement of the theorem 1, K over F is a cyclic extension. Now, we will get to the second statement, but we can conclude now, so K over F is cyclic. So, now next we have to prove, I will write it down. $[K:F]$ is, which is the Galois group because it is the Galois extension, the degree of the extension is same as the order of the Galois group, if and only if $X^n - a$ is irreducible. So this is what we want to show so now let us show this.

So, $[K:F] = n$ implies because K is $F(\alpha)$. So, $[K:F] = n$ means $[F(\alpha):F] = n$. This means degree of g is n , where g is the irreducible polynomial of α over F . If you have a primitive extension like this generated by a single element, the degree of that extension is simply the degree of the irreducible polynomial of that primitive element. So, if g is irreducible polynomial, degree of g must be n .


On the other hand, note that $f(\alpha) = 0$, where f is our polynomial whose splitting field we have started with. So, f is $X^n - a$, then $f(\alpha) = 0$. So, g divides f , and $\deg g = \deg f = n$, f is already a degree n polynomial. That means, f is g is irreducible, in other words, it is irreducible over F . So, if $[K:F] = n$, then f must be irreducible, so f is this. Because the irreducible polynomial of α , whatever that is, must have degree n , f is a polynomial which has α as a root, so f better be the irreducible polynomial and of course, that means f is irreducible.

On the other hand this is one direction. So I did not write this completely, I mean this, if the degree of the extension or the order of the Galois group is n , that is irreducible. Now, suppose, this is irreducible. This, of course, means that $\deg f = n$, so this implies, this is what I am assuming. But note that the order of the Galois group is less than or equal to n , this is because the Galois group is contained in the group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and this has order n .

So, if you have this order n , as a subgroup this will have order at most n . Now this implies, so the degree of the extension, which of course is the Galois group order because the extension is Galois, is less than equal to n . On the other hand, $[K:F] = [F(\alpha):F]$, so is what I am assuming, it is irreducible, so it is equal to n . So, I did not need to do all this, because what is the irreducible polynomial, $X^n - a$ is irreducible by hypothesis and α is a root.

So, f is irreducible and α is a root of f , and hence, so this follows, so this entire thing here implies this. So, $[K:F] = n$, which is what we wanted to prove, so I did not really need this. Those are of course, correct segments, but I do not need any of those directly we can argue this because $X^n - a$ is irreducible, so that is a polynomial whose root is α . So, the irreducible polynomial must be that and hence the degree is n . So, this completes the proof of, this proves theorem 1 and hence it proves the one direction of theorem main theorem. So, this is the main theorem.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:31)

Pf of this follows from the following 2 theorems. 

Theorem 1: Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let F be a field containing a primitive n th root of unity. Let $a \in F$, let $K = \text{Sp. fld of } X^n - a \text{ over } F$. Then

Kummer
 \Rightarrow cyclic

(1) K/F is a cyclic ext; and
(2) $|\text{Gal}(K/F)| = n \Leftrightarrow X^n - a$ is irr over F .

Theorem 2: Let $n \geq 1$; and let F be a field containing a primitive n th root of unity. Let K/F be a cyclic ext of $n = [K:F]$. Then K is the Sp. fld of an irr poly $X^n - a$ over F (ie, $a \in F$).

cyclic
 \Rightarrow Kummer



Remember 1 implies 2 is theorem 1, so this completes the proof of the first part, which says that if you have a Kummer extension, then it is a cyclic extension. So, basically think of this, there is a lot of stuff here, but think of theorem 1 as Kummer implies cyclic and think of theorem 2 as cyclic implies Kummer. This is just a short way to remember but remember also that it is not an I mean, it is there is a lot of additional hypothesis here that F is a field containing a primitive n th root and so on.

So, if you remember all that, a convenient way of remembering theorem 1 is, Kummer implies cyclic and convenient way of remembering theorem 2 is cyclic implies Kummer. So, we now proved Kummer implies cyclic and theorem is that Kummer if and only if cyclic. So, we are done with 1st theorem. Theorem 1 has a little more data than just Kummer imply cyclic, but that is a crucial thing for us. So, I wanted to highlight that.


Now, let us go ahead and start the proof of theorem 2.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:41)

Pf of Thm 2: F, n as above; K/F is a cyclic ext. ($a \in F$)
Then K is the sp fld of an irr poly $X^n - a$ over F
Let $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$. (Note K/F is Galois and G is cyclic)
Let $\zeta \in F$ be a primitive n th root of unity.

Cyclic \Rightarrow Kummer

by assumption



So, theorem 2, I will write down just for, so that I do not need to go back to that slide, F and n as above that means, n is a positive integer, F is a field with the characteristic assumption star and that F contains primitive n th root of unity, K over F is a cyclic extension. So, that is a part of hypothesis K over F is a cyclic extension, then the statement is K is the splitting field of, so then K is a splitting field of an irreducible polynomial $X^n - a$ over F . So, of course, a is in F .

So this is the statement. So, this of course, as I said is saying that cyclic implies Kummer. So, these things will in fact be useful for us later, these are not just of intrinsic interest, which they are, but they will be useful to us later also. So, let me start the proof, I may not have time to finish it in this class, but I will prove for some time and then we will stop. So, let us see. So, let me start the proof and then see how much we can do.

So, let G be the Galois group. So, note that K over F is Galois is by assumption and G is cyclic. So, that is the assumption that it is a cyclic extension. So, now, our goal is to produce a small a in capital F , whose n th root will generate K . So, let us also fix a primitive n th root of unity in F , because F contains an n th root of unity, I will just take one of them. So, let me maybe, I am not sure I can finish the proof in 10 minutes. So, I am going to stop this class here and then, we will use the next class to prove this theorem. Thank you.