

Course Name: Essentials of Topology
Professor Name: S.P. Tiwari
Department Name: Mathematics & Computing
Institute Name: Indian Institute of Technology(ISM), Dhanbad
Week: 10
Lecture: 01

Welcome to Lecture 55 on Essentials of Topology.

Continuing with the concept of compactness, in this lecture, we will study the well-known Lebesgue number lemma along with the concept of uniform continuous functions. Begin with a result which we will require to prove this lemma. So, before stating and proving the lemma, let us prove a result from metric spaces. What does this result say? This result states that if we have a metric space (X, d) , and a subset A of X , then the function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = d(x, A)$ is continuous, where $d(x, A)$ is the distance of point x from the fixed set A .

In order to prove the continuity of this function, let us use the notion of the $\epsilon - \delta$ concept. So, what exactly do we have to justify here is for given $\epsilon > 0$, let us take any $x \in X$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $d(x, y) < \delta$, $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$, where $y \in Y$. Note that $f(x) = d(x, A)$ and $f(y) = d(y, A)$. Now, as we have to justify that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$, what we can do is begin with this $d(x, A)$. Note that $d(x, A)$ will always be less than or equal to $d(x, a)$, $\forall a \in A$, as $d(x, A)$ is the infimum of such real numbers. So, by triangle inequality, we can write that $d(x, A) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, a)$, or $d(x, A) - d(x, y) \leq d(y, a)$. Note that this inequality is true for all $a \in A$. So, what we can do is take infimum over a on the right-hand side, and this is possible because $d(x, A) - d(x, y) \leq d(y, a)$, and this is true $\forall a \in A$. Therefore, what we can conclude from here is $d(x, A) - d(x, y) \leq d(y, A)$, or we can say that $d(x, A) - d(y, A) \leq d(x, y)$. Now, interchange the role of x and y , we can also write that $d(y, A) - d(x, A) \leq d(x, y)$. Now, combining these two, what can we conclude? We can say that $|d(x, A) - d(y, A)| \leq d(x, y)$. Now, let us assume that $d(x, y) < \delta$. Also, take $\epsilon = \delta$. So, we can conclude that $|d(x, A) - d(y, A)| < \epsilon$, or what we can say that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$. That's the proof of this result.

Moving ahead, let us see the main result, the Lebesgue number lemma. The

lemma is stated as: let (X, d) be a compact metric space, and \mathcal{C} be an open cover of X . Then there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $x \in X$, there exists a $G \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $B(x, \lambda) \subseteq G$. Now, let us see the proof. Begin with, let us take this collection \mathcal{C} , which is an open cover of X . The question is, whether X is a member of this \mathcal{C} ? If X is a member of this open cover, what will happen? For this $\lambda > 0$, and for all $x \in X$, it is to be noted that $B(x, \lambda) \subseteq X$. Therefore, this lemma can be proved trivially. So, what are we going to take? We are taking this open cover \mathcal{C} such that X is not a member of it. Further, if this is an open cover of X , as there exists some finite subcover, we can say that there exists some G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n in \mathcal{C} such that this $X = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_n$. Now, let us take the complement of these G_i . So, what we are doing is we are constructing new sets. Let us take F_i , which is the complement of these G_i . It is to be noted that this $1 \leq i \leq n$. What about these F_i 's? These will be non-empty because none of the G_i is equal to X , and these will be closed, too.

Moving ahead, let us prepare a platform for using the extreme value theorem, which we are going to use to prove this lemma. So, we are defining a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n d(x, F_i), x \in X$. So, what is this function? This is nothing but the average of distances from this x to F_i . It is to be noted that from the previous result, if we are defining a function that is sending each x to $d(x, F)$, where F is a fixed subset of X , then this function is continuous. So, what is this $f(x)$? This $f(x)$ is a finite sum of continuous functions multiplied by some real numbers. Therefore, this f is also continuous. So, we have shown that this function is continuous. Further, it is to be noted that what X is? This is compact. Now, if this X is compact, use the extreme value theorem. Therefore, by the well-known extreme value theorem, we can conclude that this f takes on a minimum value. Let us take that minimum value to be this λ . It is to be noted that when we are saying that this f takes on a minimum value λ , meaning is, this $\lambda \leq f(x), \forall x \in X$. Even, this λ will be something like $f(x_0)$, where $x_0 \in X$. Thus, we have prepared a foundation for proving this Lebesgue number lemma.

What precisely do we have to justify? We have to justify two things, (i) $\lambda > 0$, and (ii) $B(x, \lambda) \subseteq G$, where $G \in \mathcal{C}$. So, first, we are going to justify that $\lambda > 0$. In order to show that this $\lambda > 0$, we will justify that this $f(x)$ will always be strictly greater than 0, for all $x \in X$. If we can justify that this $f(x) > 0$, for all $x \in X$, from here we can conclude that $\lambda > 0$. Now, in order

to show that $f(x) > 0$, let us take any arbitrary $x \in X$. Note that if x is in X , what X is? X is nothing but the union of G_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq n$. So, $x \in G_k$, for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Note that (X, d) is a metric space. So, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq G_k$. Thus, we can conclude from here is that if we are trying to find $d(x, F_k) \geq \epsilon$, i.e., $d(x, y) \geq \epsilon$, for all $y \in F_k$. The question is, how can we do it? If for some $y \in F_k$, this $d(x, y) < \epsilon$, what will happen? Note that if $d(x, y) < \epsilon$, $y \in B(x, \epsilon)$ and $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq G_k$. It is to be noted that $y \in F_k$. What F_k is? F_k is a complement of G_k , so this is not possible, and therefore, $d(x, F_k) \geq \epsilon$. Now, let us see the nature of our function. The function that we have defined, that is, is defined as $f(x) = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n d(x, F_i)$. Note that this can be written as something like $(1/n)[d(x, F_1) + \dots + d(x, F_i) + \dots + d(x, F_n)]$. As $d(x, F_k) \geq \epsilon$, so, we can conclude that $f(x) \geq \epsilon/n$. It is to be noted that $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore, we can conclude that this $f(x) > 0$, and if $f(x) > 0$, we can conclude that $\lambda > 0$. So, we have proved this first part.

Now, let us try to justify that the open ball centered at x and radius λ is included in some of the members of open cover \mathcal{C} . Actually, we can justify that for every $x \in X$; $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq G_m$, for some $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The question is, how is it possible? Let us take $d(x, F_m)$, which is a maximum of these $d(x, F_i)$'s, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now, what can we do? Let us see this $f(x)$, which is always greater than or equal to λ , and this is nothing but $(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n d(x, F_i) \leq 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n d(x, F_m)$, and if we are finding the sum, this is precisely this $d(x, F_m)$, that is we found two bounds for this $f(x)$, that is $f(x) \geq \lambda$, and this is less than or equal to this is $d(x, F_m)$. From here, we can claim that $B(x, \lambda) \subseteq G_m$. Because, if possible, there exists some $y \in B(x, \lambda)$ such that y is not a member of G_m , what will happen? Note that F_m is a complement of G_m , so $y \in F_m$, and if $y \in F_m$, we can conclude that $d(x, y) \geq \lambda$. But at the same time, it is to be noted that what y is? y is a member of this open ball, that is, this $B(x, \lambda)$, and if this is a member of this open ball, we can conclude that $d(x, y) < \lambda$. So, we reach a contradiction. Hence, what do we conclude that $B(x, \lambda) \subseteq G_m$, and what is this G_m ? G_m is a member of this open cover, which is proof of this well-known Lebesgue number lemma. It is to be noted here that this lambda also has a special name. Actually, this lambda is called the Lebesgue number of this open cover; what we have taken here, is \mathcal{C} .

Now, let us use the concept of this Lebesgue number lemma to discuss the uniform continuity in metric spaces. What we can justify is that if met-

ric spaces are compact, the continuous function turns out to be a uniform continuous function. Before coming to this concept, let us recall the concept of uniform continuity, that is, a function f from a metric space (X, d) to the metric space (Y, d') is said to be uniformly continuous if for a given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every pair of points $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta \Rightarrow d'(f(x_1), f(x_2)) < \epsilon$. Having this idea in mind, let us prove a simple result, which is the relationship between continuous functions. It states that if we have two metric spaces (X, d) , and (Y, d') , and these are compact, then the continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is uniformly continuous.

In order to prove this result, let us take or begin with this continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Also, it is to be noted that X and Y both are compact, and being metric spaces, we can talk about the metric topology. So, let us take an open cover of this Y . So, what are we taking? We are taking $\{B(y, \epsilon/2) : y \in Y\}$ as an open cover of Y . If this is an open cover of Y , $\mathcal{C} = \{f^{-1}(B(y, \epsilon/2)) : y \in Y\}$ is an open cover of X . If this is an open cover of X , let us take the Lebesgue number, that is, λ of this open cover \mathcal{C} . So, if we are taking this Lebesgue number as λ , corresponding to this $\epsilon > 0$, we can say that there is a δ , and this δ is nothing but this Lebesgue number. Let us take this $\delta = \lambda$. Now, we are taking any two elements x_1 and x_2 in X such that the $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$. Then what will happen? This two points set $\{x_1, x_2\}$ will be a member of an element of this open cover. So, $\{x_1, x_2\} \subseteq f^{-1}(B(y, \epsilon/2))$, or $f(\{x_1, x_2\}) \subseteq B(y, \epsilon/2)$, that is, $\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\} \subseteq B(y, \epsilon/2)$, or we can conclude that this $d'(f(x_1), f(x_2)) < \epsilon$. So, whenever $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$, this $d'(f(x_1), f(x_2)) < \epsilon$. What exactly we have taken is that for any arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, this δ is nothing but the Lebesgue number of the open cover that we have constructed here. Therefore, the continuous function f is uniformly continuous.

These are the references.

That's all from this lecture. Thank you.